UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Petitioner,	Civil Case Number: 05-CV-74142 Crim. Case Number: 04-CR-80288
v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	HONORABLE AVERN COHN
Respondent.	1

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND STAYING MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255

This is a habeas case under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Petitioner Kole Vushaj, a federal prisoner convicted of several counts relating to alien smuggling and serving 110 months imprisonment, claims he is incarcerated in violation of his constitutional rights.

Petitioner specifically claims that his counsel was ineffective regarding his rights under the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (VCCR). Petitioner says the government agents who arrested him failed to inform him of his VCCR rights prior to his interrogation. The government filed a response asserting that Petitioner has failed to state a claim based on case law from the Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

The matter was referred to a magistrate judge for a report and recommendation (MJRR). The magistrate judge issued a MJRR recommending that further proceedings in this case be stayed pending the outcome of decisions by the United States Supreme Court in Sanchez-Llamas v. Oregon, 126 S.Ct. 620 (2005); Bustill v. Johnson, 126 S.Ct. 621 (2005), in which the Supreme Court will consider (1) whether the Vienna

Convention coveys individual rights of consular notification, (2) whether the state's

failure to notify a foreign detainee of his rights results in the suppression of their

statements to police, and (3) whether a state may refuse to consider violations of the

VCCR because of a procedural bar. The magistrate judge says that a decision in these

cases may overrule existing Sixth Circuit law and therefore recommends that the case

be stayed. Neither party has filed objections to the MJRR.

Accordingly, the findings and conclusions of the MJRR are adopted as the

findings and conclusions of the Court. Petitioner's motion under § 2255 is STAYED

pending a Supreme Court decision. Following the issuance of a decision, the

magistrate judge shall prepare a supplemental MJRR addressing Petitioner's motion.

The parties are directed to promptly notify the Court and the magistrate judge when the

Supreme Court issues a decision.

SO ORDERED.

s/Avern Cohn

AVERN COHN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: April 4, 2006

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record

on this date, April 4, 2006, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Julie Owens

Case Manager

(313) 234-5160

2